recommenations of Yashpal Committee;

(b) the reasons for not implementing these recommendations throughout the country;

(c) whether the Government are considering to issue some guidelines to the State Governments in this respect;

(d) if so, the details thereof; and

(e) the time by which the recommendations are likely to be implemented in the entire country?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION IN THE MINISTRY OF HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT (SHRI MUHI RAM SAIKIA) : (a) to (e) In the 50th meeting of the Central Advisory Board on Education (CABE) held on 2.3.94, State Governments expressed their broad agreement with the recommendations of the Yash Pal Committee. The consensus of such views was identified and the course of action to be adopted by the State Governments suggested to them. The implementation of these recommendations has been partially initiated by most State and Central agencies. The recommendations of the Committee are of two categories:

(i) Those requiring one time action; and

(ii) Recommendations requiring long term intervention.

The Government has issued two sets of action points. one for States/UTs and the other for Central Agencies to facilitate implementation.

Implementation of the recommendations by the State Governments is a part of their on-going programme of development of school education.

**Development of Ports and Harbours**

1369. SHRI A. SAMPATH : Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state :

(a) the funds earmarked for the development of ports and harbours during 1994-95 and 1995-96;

(b) the funds spent during the above period Port-wise;

(c) whether the Government propose to construct new ports and harbours; and

(d) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI T.G. VENKATRAMAN) : (a) and (b) The outlays provided for development of Major Ports during 1994-95 and 1995-96 and actual expenditure is as under:-

(Rs. in Crores)

| Name of the Port | 1994-95 | | 1995-96 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Outlay | Actual Expr. | Outlay | Actual Expr. |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1. Calcutta/Haldia | 40.00 | 27.56 | 60.32 | 20.51 |
| 2. Mumbai | 50.00 | 46.57 | 143.61 | 42.53 |
| 3. JL Nehru | 35.00 | 25.12 | 55.24 | 40.91 |
| 4. Chennai | 50.00 | 33.69 | 92.59 | 54.44 |
| 5. Cochin | 60.00 | 16.85 | 50.00 | 20.96 |
| 6. Vizag | 40.00 | 37.43 | 53.00 | 26.42 |
| 7. Kandla | 30.00 | 17.43 | 30.92 | 12.85 |
| 8. Mormugao | 30.00 | 20.56 | 27.28 | 14.06 |
| 9. Paradip | 40.00 | 37.49 | 77.93 | 65.51 |
| 10. New Mangalore | 40.00 | 24.42 | 107.44 | 124.03 |
| 11. Tuticorin | 19.50 | 23.42 | 23.10 | 15.73 |
| Total : | 434.50 | 310.54 | 721.43 | 438.95 |

(c) and (d) No new port, except the Satellite Port at Ennore near Chennai for handling coal, is proposed to be constructed during 9th Plan.

*[Translation]*

**Leprosy Eradication Centres**

1370. SHRI HARIVANSH SAHAI : Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state :

(a) the details of leprosy Eradication Centres functioning at present in differenrt States, specially in Tribal and Scheduled caste dominated and rural and backward areas of Purvanchal region of Uttar Pardesh;

(b) the names of places where such centres have been opened during 1995-96 and 1996-97;

(c) whether any such centre has been opened in Deoria and Ballia districts of Uttar Pradesh in the above said period; and

(d) if so, the amount of assistance provided to each of these centres?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI SALEEM IQBAL SHERVANI) : (a) The details of various Centres/ Units functioning at present under National Leprosy Eradication Programme in different States is given at Statement-I

Such Centres/Units functioning in Tribal and scheduled caste dominated and rural backward areas of Purvanchal region of Uttar Pradesh is at A Statement-II

(b) Nil

(c) No Sir.

(d) Does not arise.

***Statement–I***

*Leprosy Eradication Centres Functioning in the Country*

| Sl.No. | State/UT | LCU/MCU | ULC | SET | DLU | THW | SSAU | VO | MLTU |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 94 | 91 | 164 | 31 | 53 | 3 | 45 | - |
| 2 | Arunachal Pradesh | 2 | - | 31 | - | 1 | - | 3 | 8 |
| 3 | Assam | 9 | 16 | 250 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 22 |
| 4 | Bihar | 89 | 71 | 1044 | 22 | 29 | 3 | 22 | 36 |
| 5 | Goa | 1 | 2 | 31 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 1 |
| 6 | Gujarat | 21 | 21 | 369 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 17 | 13 |
| 7 | Haryana | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 1 | 14 |
| 8 | Himachal Pradesh | 6 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 15 |
| 9 | Jammu & Kashmir | 8 | 2 | 37 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 14 |
| 10 | Karnataka | 41 | 50 | 673 | 20 | 22 | 3 | 22 | 14 |
| 11 | Kerala | 20 | 45 | 254 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 10 |
| 12 | Madhya Pradesh | 54 | 72 | 530 | 23 | 14 | 5 | 7 | 40 |
| 13 | Maharashtra | 42 | 258 | 970 | 24 | 23 | 1 | 27 | 21 |
| 14 | Manipur | 4 | 1 | 17 | 4 | 1 | - | 2 | 9 |
| 15 | Meghalaya | 2 | 1 | 16 | - | 2 | - | 1 | 7 |
| 16 | Mizoram | 2 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | 3 |
| 17 | Nagaland | 2 | 2 | 30 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 7 |
| 18 | Orissa | 55 | 16 | 140 | 10 | 11 | 1 | 17 | - |
| 19 | Punjab | 0 | 17 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 17 |
| 20 | Rajasthan | 5 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 4 | - | 7 | 39 |
| 21 | Sikkim | 2 | 6 | 13 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| 22 | Tamil Nadu | 102 | 82 | 26 | 22 | 52 | 7 | 31 | - |
| 23 | Tripura | 3 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 24 | Uttar Pradesh | 122 | 60 | 1023 | 65 | 17 | 1 | 48 | 44 |
| 25 | West Bengal | 91 | 71 | 35 | 15 | 30 | 4 | 14 | 3 |
| 26 | A& N Island | - | 3 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| 27 | Chandigarh | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 28 | D & N Haveli | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| 29 | Daman & Diu | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |
| 30 | Delhi | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 2 |
| 31 | Lakshadweep | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 32 | Pondicherry | 1 | 3 | 24 | 2 | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| | TOTAL | 778 | 907 | 5,744 | 278 | 290 | 40 | 290 | 350 |

LCU/MCU - Leprosy Control Unit/Modified ,Control Unit
ULC - Urban Leprosy Centre
SET - Survey, Education and Treatment Centre
DLU - District Leprosy Unit
THW - Temporary Hospitalization Ward
SSAU - Sample, Survey cum Assessment Unit
VO - Voluntary Organization
MLTU - Mobile Leprosy Treatment Unit

***Statement – II***

*Leprosy Eradication Centres in Purvanchal region of U.P*

| District | DLU | LCU | SET | VLC | MLTU |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Azamgarh | 1 | 5 | - | 1 | - |
| Mou | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 |
| Jaunpur | 1 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 2 |
| Ballia | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - |
| Ghazipur | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - |
| Mirzapur | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - |
| Varanasi | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - |
| Bhadohi | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Sonbhadra | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 |
| Basti | 1 | 1 | 21 | 1 | - |
| Sidharthnagar | 1 | 4 | - | - | 1 |
| Maharajganj | 1 | 3 | - | - | 1 |
| Gorakhpur | 1 | 1 | 21 | - | - |
| Deoria | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - |
| Padrouna | 1 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Faizabad | 1 | 3 | - | 1 | - |
| Ambedkarnagar | - | 2 | - | - | - |
| Bahraich | 13 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Gonda | 1 | 2 | 14 | 2 | - |
| Sultanpur | 1 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 2 |
| Barabanki | 1 | 4 | - | 1 | - |
| Pratapgarh | 1 | 1 | - | 1 | 2 |
| Allahabad | 1 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 2 |
| Kheri | 1 | 5 | - | 1 | - |

*[English]*

**Development of Ports**

1371. SHRI KRISHAN LAL SHARMA : Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that practically all the major ports of the country at present suffer from inadequate infrastructure and need huge sum to meet this requirement;

(b) whether Government have estimated the cost of development of these ports;

(c) if so, port-wise estimates;

(d) whether the Government have approached World Bank in this regard; and

(e) if so, the response therefrom?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI T.G. VENKATRAMAN) : (a) The Government is siezed of the need to develop the infrastructure at Major Ports and the massive investment required therefore.

(b) During 9th Five Year Plan, an outlay of around Rs. 15,665 crores is projected for development of Major Ports.

(c) The port-wise break-up of above outlays is as under :-

(Rs. in Crores)

| Name of the Port | Outlay Projected | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | Central Sector | Private Sector | Total |
| 1. Calcutta/Haldia | 927 | - | 927 |
| 2. Mumbai | 980 | - | 980 |
| 3. Chennai | 1803 | - | 1803 |
| 4. Cochin | 337 | 474 | 811 |
| 5. Vizag | 1178 | - | 1178 |
| 6. Kandla | 871 | - | 871 |
| 7. Mormugao | 259 | 1073 | 1332 |
| 8. Paradip | 1452 | 2360 | 3812 |
| 9. New Mangalore | 342 | 100 | 442 |
| 10. Tuticorin | 447 | - | 447 |
| 11. JL Nehru | 515 | 2547 | 3062 |
| Total : | 9111 | 6554 | 15665 |

(d) and (e) No Sir.

*[Translation]*

**Capacity of Ports**

1372. SHRI AMAR PAL SINGH : Will the Minister of SURFACE TRANSPORT be pleased to state:

(a) whether any scheme is under consideration of the Government for improving the quality of service and increasing the capacity of the ports in the country;

(b) if so, the details thereof; and

(c) the time by which the target for making the ports of international standard would be attained?

THE MINISTER OF SURFACE TRANSPORT (SHRI T.G. VENKATRAMAN) : (a) and (b) Improving the quality of servic as well as the capacity of Major Ports in the country is a continuous process. During 9th Plan (1997-2002), it is proposed to enhance the port capacity from 215 Million Tonnes to 374 Million Tonnes. In order to improve the quality of service, greater autonomy has been given to Major Port Trusts in the matter of investment decision for development projects. Various measures have been proposed to be taken up to meet the shortage of pilots. Night navigation and Vessel Traffic Management Systems are being introduced at major Ports.